MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF SILVER CITY
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
REMOTE MEETING IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE NM OPEN MEETINGS ACT
August 25, 2020, 6:00 p.m.

Present:
Ken Ladner, Mayor
Cynthia Ann Bettison, District 1
Lynda D. Aiman-Smith, District 2
Jose A. Ray, Jr., District 3
Guadalupe E. Cano, District 4

Also, present:
Alex C. Brown, Town Manager
James Marshall, Assistant Town Manager
Robert L. Scavron, Town Attorney
Ann L. Mackie, Town Clerk
Freddie Portillo, Police Chief
Milo Lambert, Fire Chief
Jeff Fell, Assistant Fire Chief & Emergency Manager
Robert Higgins, Information Technology Director

1. CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Mayor Ladner called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Clerk Mackie did a roll call of the Mayor, Councilors and staff.

2. CHANGES TO AGENDA – None.

3. CEREMONIES – None.

4. PROCLAMATIONS – None.

5. COUNCIL COMMENTS – Councilor Aiman-Smith thanked Priscilla Arredondo, Town Planner, for reporting there were 313 acres of open space associated with Boston Hill. She acknowledged and praised the Town and citizens for working so hard on those open space designations. Councilor Cano had no comments. Councilor Ray thanked the Governor for doing COVID-19 updates in Spanish on the Albuquerque channel news. He questioned why Census reports were being done at houses that were almost demolished or vacant for years, and he stated he refused to give information to the Census worker. Councilor Bettison expressed her appreciation to the Silver City Fire Department and the Silver City Police Department for everything they did with the fire that occurred on Santa Rita Street, and how nearby residents commented on how professional they were and how they treated them with utmost kindness, concern, decency, and true community mindfulness. She thanked Fire Chief Lambert and Police Chief Portillo. She also commented on the work of the Silver City Rotary Club, particularly Kathy Eaton, for distributing masks and she thanked Fire Chief Lambert, Police Chief Portillo, and the Mayor for spreading the word and providing masks to folks. She thanked the Silver City Rotary Club and Grant County citizens for raising over $12,000 for The Commons for the food insecurity, and how she was happy to be a member of the Silver City Rotary Club because they provided 36 or 38 hours of service combined per month as Rotarians in repacking dry goods with the funds attained. She said citizens of Grant County came forward with $5,000 and the Rotary Club matched it, and they also received a district grant for food insecurity for the remainder of the amount. She extended her appreciation and thanks to all of the folks, that live and shop in Silver City, for everything they were doing to adhere to the Governor’s Public Health Orders and for wearing masks, and she had noticed a significant increase in people doing that. Mayor Ladner expressed his appreciation to the Police Department and others that had been making masks or distributing masks. He said he noticed a big difference in the number of people wearing face masks downtown and at the local retail merchants. He said Southwest New Mexico and Grant County were doing pretty well with a low number of cases of COVID-19 and there were no new cases during the past week. He thanked all that had been involved in the effort.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - Regular Meeting on July 28, 2020 - Councilor Aiman-Smith moved to approve the Minutes of the Regular Town Council Meeting of the Town of Silver City of July 28, 2020. Councilor Bettison seconded. The Mayor asked if there was any discussion, and there was none. He asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted aye; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried. The Council Meeting scheduled for August 11, 2020 was canceled. Therefore, there were no minutes.
7. PUBLIC INPUT – Mayor Ladner said they had 60 people that provided input by phone and email for the Council Meeting. He said he read all of them that he received from Clerk Mackie, plus those sent to him and not her. He said he spent almost two hours reading them to himself and that he asked Clerk Mackie to send all of them to the Council and ask them to read them. He said he hoped they had all been read by the Council. He said they would put those comments in the minutes of the meeting so they would become part of the public record. He said in order to monitor if the Council had been following the comments, he asked the Council if any of them did not read the comments that Clerk Mackie sent to them. He confirmed that all of the Councilors shook their heads to indicate no and that the comments would become part of the public record when the minutes were published.

8. REPORTS –
A. Staff Reports - Manager Brown had no reports.

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS – None.

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS –
A. Approval / Disapproval of Ordinance No. 1293: An Ordinance amending Chapter 22 of the Town of Silver City Municipal Code, creating a new Section 22-30 regarding the use of face coverings by employees and customers when inside places of business and by all members of the public when in public places. Councilor Bettison stated she introduced Ordinance during the July 28, 2020 Council meeting for the health, safety and welfare of the Town and its inhabitants, and that the Ordinance paralleled the Governor’s Public Health Order and provided for a number of exceptions that were not listed in the Governor’s Public Health Order. She said the Ordinance was to let their business owners, employers, and employees know that the Council understands the burden of mask enforcement that has been placed upon them and the Council wanted to reassure that they stand behind them, as does the Silver City Police Department and they will enforce the State’s Public Health Order at the local level through Ordinance No. 1293. She said the Ordinance was also to let the Silver City Police Department know the Town Council stands behind their efforts to educate the public about the benefits of wearing masks to ensure the health, safety, and welfare of others and that they stand behind them in assisting businesses as needed. Councilor Bettison discussed how COVID-19 had impacted her family and friends. She said those were the things she did not want to happen to folks in the community; that some had survived and some had passed away, but if they all pulled together and worked to ensure that everyone was doing their best to adhere to the Governor’s Public Health Order as well as to their local orders if the Ordinance passed, she said she thought Grant County would remain strong. She said their cases had not been increasing and their death rate had not increased, so there was something to social distancing, wearing a mask, and being considerate of others.

Councilor Bettison said she would suggest certain modifications to the Ordinance which would be described in her motion to adopt the Ordinance. She said she consulted with the Town Attorney as to whether the proposed changes made herein were so material as to change the subject matter or intent of the original Notice of Intent Ordinance for the purposes of the Open Meetings Act which would then require a re-publishing. She said the Town Attorney advised that the changes were directed to clarification and further conformance to the intent of the State’s Public Health Orders, and thus, the Notice of Intent Ordinance did not require re-publishing. Councilor Bettison moved to approve Ordinance No. 1293: An Ordinance Amending Chapter 22 of the Town of Silver City Municipal Code, Creating a New Section 22-30 Regarding the use of face coverings by employers and customers when inside places of business and by all members of the public when in public places, with the following modifications: In Section 22-30 (B) Definitions, the term “face shields” is to be deleted from the category of “face covering” and the subsection is further clarified to read: “Face covering means a cloth, fabric, or other soft and permeable material (without holes or exhalation valves), that cover both the mouth and nose and includes surgical masks, N-95 respirators, handmade masks, and bandanas.” In Section 22-30 (C) 1. Subsections f) and g), the following language is appended to each provision: “where maintaining a distance of six (6) feet between other members of the public at all times is not possible.” In Section 22-30 (C) 2, A new Subsection “g)” is inserted which shall state: “While smoking or vaping, when a distance of at least six (6) feet from other members of the public at all times can be maintained. This exception shall be exercised subject to all prohibitions and/or conditions otherwise provided for by local and state laws regarding smoking in or near public places.” In Section 22-30 (C) 2., a new Subsection “(h)” is inserted which shall state: “Additional exceptions as may later be authorized by Public Health Orders of the Governor and/or Department of Health shall be incorporated herein this Ordinance by reference.”
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Subsection (E), entitled “Automatic Rescission of Ordinance” is added, which shall state: “This Ordinance shall terminate without further action of the Town Council upon the withdrawal or termination of the Governor’s Public Health Orders mandating the use of face coverings when in public, whether by action of the Governor, the New Mexico Department of Public Health, or a binding decision of the State of New Mexico Supreme Court. This termination shall not, however, act as a bar to the prosecution of cases arising out of violations of this Ordinance prior to the termination mentioned herein.” Councilor Aiman-Smith seconded the motion as stated.

Mayor Ladner asked if there were comments from the Council. Councilor Cano asked why face shields were removed. Councilor Bettison said it was recommended by Emergency Manager, Jeff Fell, because it was not permeable and not listed in the Governor’s Orders. Councilor Cano asked about Section (C) 1. e about utilizing public or private transportation and how it was not required in (C) 2. a in personal vehicles, and she asked to clarify at what point you need to wear a mask in private transportation. Councilor Bettison said clarification can be made there and she asked Attorney Scavron for clarification. Attorney Scavron said under (C) 1. e change to “utilizing public or ‘commercial’ private transportation”. Councilor Cano moved to amend (C) 1. E to add “commercial” before private transportation. Councilor Bettison voted aye to the amendment to her motion. Mayor Ladner asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted nay; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried. Mayor Ladner stated Councilor Cano still had the floor. Councilor Cano asked about (C) 1. f and g about walking in public and activities such as exercising and she asked to remove both of them. She said she did not think they needed to keep it in there forever and she understood that the Governor had the mandate, but it was important for them to remove it because if they did not and once the Governor did remove it the Ordinance would have extra things in it that they did not need. She said a majority of people opposed to the Ordinance had issues with f) and g) and she would like them to consider removing both of them. Councilor Cano moved to remove (C) 1. f and g) from the Ordinance. Mayor Ladner stated the motion died for lack of a second. Councilor Cano said in (C) 1. a) she wanted to include churches in the description, and she explained. Councilor Cano moved to add “church” to (C) 1. a), Councilor Bettison seconded. Mayor Ladner asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted nay; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried. Councilor Cano said that was all she had.

Mayor Ladner gave the floor to Councilor Ray. Councilor Ray said he was not in support of the Ordinance and he would vote no on it. He said he did not have the right to tell people what to do or not to do. He said they were all in it together. He said if people wanted to wear a mask, let them wear a mask, and if they did not want to wear a mask, then do not wear a mask. He said it had been blown out of proportion, and they were trying to control us. He said he likes to be in control of his life and not have someone control his life. He said it was a redundant thing, and too many people were against it. He said they had a lot of emails, a lot of phone calls, and a lot of voicemails from the public. He said he talked to at least 100 people, and the percentage was very high against it, and he thought they would get a lot more. He said if he passed tonight, may they put it to a public vote so it would be fair and democratic, and not force people to do things. He said his vote would be no. Mayor Ladner asked if there were other comments. Councilor Aiman-Smith said the Ordinance was framed as a public health issue, and as an elected official of a local government it was her responsibility to do what she could to ensure the public health and good. She said she supported the current Ordinance in that it completely paralleled what the Governor was doing, and they did not add a whole lot of new stuff. She gave an example and reminded folks that masks that were cloth barriers that capture the aerosols coming from their breath, so they were protecting their neighbors, their friends, and their community. She discussed how the New Mexico Department of Health recommended updates to the Governor and that she was following how current studies showed that children above the ages of three should be masked because they were little petri dishes of virus and could certainly infect others. She said there was a formal memo from the New Mexico Department of Health to the Governor that encouraged her to change it when she did an update. She questioned whether they should include in Section (C) 2. where it talked about additional exceptions, if they should add, “additional additions or changes” so that when a new set of Governor guidelines comes out reflecting the New Mexico Department of Health guidelines, that they could incorporate them easily. She asked Councilor Bettison or Attorney Scavron if that was the appropriate way to treat that. Attorney Scavron discussed their options for the Ordinance and the options for enforcement by the Silver City Police Department as the Governor changes her Orders. He said his concern was if things were to get more restrictive, and the Ordinance automatically became more restrictive, how do they give proper notice to the public without having to go back in and do an amendment to the Ordinance. Councilor Aiman-Smith said that was the question and she said it was very likely the Governor would say masks were required by age three and over and currently the Ordinance said 13 and over. There was further discussion.
Councilor Aiman-Smith moved to friendly amend Section 22-30 (C) 2. In new Subsection h) should state “Additional exceptions ‘or restrictions’ as may later be authorized by Public Health Orders of the Governor and/or Department of Health shall be incorporated herein this Ordinance by reference.” Councilor Bettison seconded. Councilor Bettison addressed Councilor Cano’s concerns about removing (C) 1. f) and g) and how it would be immediately removed by reference as per Section (C) 2. h) and that adding “or restrictions” will assist them with the understanding that it would be expected that the Town of Silver City would do public service announcements either way; that any sort of change that the Governor made that affected their Ordinance would immediately become a public service announcement, announced at the next Council meeting, put in the newspaper, and posted on the website, etc. Mayor Ladner asked for a roll call vote of Councilor Aiman-Smith’s friendly amendment. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted nay; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried. Attorney Scavron discussed how he may create a new subparagraph that would be ministerial.

Councilor Bettison mentioned the fact that there were potential citations about the face coverings, but her attitude was that a warning was important. She said their officers had been doing education and receiving compliance when they talked to people. She said it was the officer’s discretion whether or not a warning would be issued or a citation depending on the circumstances. She said education was paramount and she asked Chief Portillo to make comments and whether he supported the Ordinance and how they were currently working to education the public. Chief Portillo said they were moving in the right direction. He said the Police Department’s job was always to protect and serve the public. He said they had been educating the public and handed out a bunch of masks, talked to businesses and citizens, and answered calls for service that had to do with the Public Health Order. He said with education they had a high success rate in gaining compliance. He said the officers had discretion, but if the Ordinance passed, they would continue doing what they had been doing, but if they had to issue a citation they would go in that direction. Councilor Ray said he was leery about the Ordinance because they might get someone to do somebody some harm; that it could happen, they could destroy a building, destroy a car, do something to city hall or to the Council. He said they had to take that into consideration, and he hoped they would not do that if the Ordinance passed. Mayor Ladner addressed Clerk Mackie and said he wanted to make sure that all of the public comments received up to the cut off time on that day would be in the minutes, and that on the website they make a comment that the public can go to the meeting minutes and read the comments by every person that submitted them. He addressed Manager Brown and Chief Portillo and stated he would like to have some period of time where they continue their program of talking to people about the importance of wearing a mask and offering them a mask, and to use the issue of a citation only as a last resort. He said he did not want to see them get bogged down with paperwork and for the courts to be inundated with warnings and citations. He said he agreed with the Ordinance and it was important to show the Governor they were supporting her Public Health Order. He asked Councilor Bettison to read all of the amendments of the Ordinance, and she did. He asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted nay; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried.

B. Approval / Disapproval of Ordinance No. 1294: An Ordinance approving the sale of Town owned property at 2830 Little Walnut Road, Silver City, New Mexico, all in conformance with Chapter 48, Sections 48-20 et seq. of the Town of Silver City Municipal Code. Manager Brown said the Town issued a bid for the sale of property located at 2830 Little Walnut Road, and the Town received three bids on the property. He said one of the bids was deemed non-responsive because of the way it was submitted. He said it was staff’s recommendation to award the bid to Nick Chavez for $37,569 plus all closing costs as explained in Section 2 of the Ordinance. He explained further and said it was staff’s recommendation to pass the Ordinance so they could sell the property at 2830 Little Walnut Road. There was further discussion. Councilor Aiman-Smith moved to approve Ordinance No. 1294: An Ordinance approving the sale of Town owned property at 2830 Little Walnut Road, Silver City, New Mexico, all in conformance with Chapter 48, Sections 48-20 et seq. of the Town of Silver City Municipal Code. Councilor Bettison seconded. Mayor Ladner asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted aye; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried.

11. NEW BUSINESS -
A. Approval / Disapproval of the Selection of a Voting Delegate and Alternate to vote at the New Mexico Municipal League’s Annual Conference. Mayor Ladner said Councilor Bettison, Councilor Cano and himself planned to attend the conference. Councilor Aiman-Smith said she would also attend it. The Mayor said they needed to elect a
delegate and alternate. Councilor Bettison moved to select Mayor Ken Ladner as the voting delegate and Councilor Cano as the alternate to vote at the New Mexico Municipal League Annual Conference. She said she would preside as the President and would be unable to be the alternate. Councilor Aiman-Smith seconded. Mayor Ladner asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted aye; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried. Mayor Ladner said Councilor Bettison would be presiding at the meeting with delegates from all over the state of New Mexico and would be introducing speakers and conducting the annual business meeting. He thanked her for her leadership and honorably representing Silver City throughout the state. He said they were really proud of her.

B. Appointment to the Lodgers Tax Advisory Board. Mayor Ladner stated Kevin Hubbs reapplied to continue his service on the Lodgers Tax Advisory Board as a general member. He said the Chair of the Board recommended Mr. Hubbs' reappointment. He said if there was no objection from the Council, he would reappoint Mr. Hubbs. There was no objection.

C. Appointments to the Trails and Open Space Advisory Committee. Mayor Ladner said Jim Wightman reapplied to continue his service on the Trails & Open Space Advisory Committee and the Community Development Department Director recommended his reappointment. He said if there was no objection from the Council, he would reappoint Mr. Wightman. There was no objection. The Mayor said the Town received an application from Laura Larisch to serve on the Trails and Open Space Advisory Committee and the Community Development Department Director recommended her appointment. He said if there was no objection from the Council, he would appoint Ms. Larisch. There was no objection.

12. ADJOURNMENT – Mayor Ladner concluded the meeting Councilor Ray moved to adjourn at 7:22 p.m., and Councilor Bettison seconded. The Mayor asked for a roll call vote. Councilor Bettison voted aye; Councilor Aiman-Smith voted aye; Councilor Ray voted aye; and Councilor Cano voted aye. Motion carried.

[Signature]
Ken Ladner, Mayor

Attest:
[Signature]
Ann L. Mackie, Town Clerk
Appendix

The following pages are an Appendix to the August 25, 2020 Remote Regular Council Meeting. The pages are copies of public input that were sent or received by the Town Clerk concerning the final vote on Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Ms. Nelson's comments below regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

-----Original Message-----
From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2020 5:40 PM
To: 'Mary Nelson' <mailto:Mary Nelson@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Mask ordinance

Dear Ms. Nelson,

Thank you for your comments. I will forward your email to the Mayor and four Town Councilors. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance for your reference.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mary Nelson <mailto:Mary Nelson@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2020 5:01 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Mask ordinance
To Whom it May Concern:

I am overwhelmingly in favor of a town ordinance requiring masks in public places. I am 72, diabetic, asthmatic, and hypertensive. I stay home as much as humanly possible but must go out in public occasionally. I had to go to the Post Office today to pick up a package and was forced into closer proximity than I would have liked to 3 different people not wearing masks despite the Post Office’s multiple signs requiring masks to be worn in their lobby. I understand that it is often dangerous to confront those not wearing masks so I never do so. I strongly believe that should be the responsibility of law enforcement as they are trained to handle confrontation and have the authority to deal with these offenders.

I do everything I can to protect myself and others from this disease. Please pass this ordinance - I am depending on you to help me stay healthy.

Thank you for your attention.

Mary Nelson
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Ms. DeMichele's email below with comments on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 8:30 AM
To: JoanneDeMichele
Subject: RE: Masks

Dear Ms. DeMichele,

Thank you for your comments on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Town Councilors. The final vote on the ordinance is scheduled for August 25. I have also attached a copy of the proposed ordinance for your reference.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by
return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Joanne DeMichele <redacted>
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 6:50 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Masks

Thank you for encouraging mask use and enacting a mask ordinance.

Please have an educational campaign along with it and perhaps a catchy slogan. Toss no mas is a good example of an effective effort to educate and change minds and habits. You have creative people. Use them.

Thanks,

Joanne DeMichele
<redacted>
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Ms. Call's comments in the email below on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 8:40 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Your input regarding face coverings - Online Form Submittal: Comments or Questions

Dear Ms. Call,

Thank you for your comments on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. The NOI Ordinance is posted on this page https://www.townofsilvercity.org/277/Current-Notices-of-Intent under Government then the Town Council pages. I have also attached it to this email for your reference.

I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. The final vote on this proposed ordinance is scheduled for the August 25 Council Meeting. We appreciate your input.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-8377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or
distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: noreply@civicplus.com <noreply@civicplus.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 7:55 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Comments or Questions

Comments or Questions

Address: PO Box 1188 Silver City, NM 88062, Phone: 575-538-3731

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Marta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you find us?</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>FB post by Grant County Beat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Comments or Questions</td>
<td>Comment on NOI Ordinance 1293 - Face Coverings: I am in favor of people wearing face coverings in public, but unless the ordinance is accompanied by enforcement it is absolutely useless. I couldn't find anything except a one-page NOI that doesn’t address the enforcement of wearing face coverings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Logan Campbell's comments regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 for face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

----Original Message-----
From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 10:20 AM
To: ThePack
Subject: RE: In SUPPORT of proposed Silver City law regarding masks

Dear M. Campbell,

Thank you for your comments on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. The final vote on the ordinance is scheduled for the August 25 Council meeting. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. We appreciate your input.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

----Original Message-----
From: ThePack
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 10:00 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: In SUPPORT of proposed Silver City law regarding masks

I am writing today to register my strong SUPPORT for the proposed law in Silver City to require the wearing of masks to help slow and prevent further spread of the Covid-19 disease caused by the SARS-CoV2 virus.

Unless and until a safe, effective vaccine or treatment is developed, wearing masks and physical distancing are the only tools we have to combat this virus.

Yeah, masks are uncomfortable to wear, especially in the summer heat. I'm not a huge fan of the actual wearing of them, myself. However, the science behind their effectiveness, combined with physical distancing, is persuasive. My family and I have worn masks 100% of the time in public for many weeks now.

The science behind this approach depends on near-universal adoption of masks and physical distancing. Currently, too many people are not taking this seriously.

I would prefer that such a law not be necessary, and that people would take these simple steps on their own initiative. That has clearly not happened, as evidenced by the ever increasing case count in New Mexico and Grand County and here in Silver City. So clearly, such a law is necessary at this time, and I support it.

Thank you for your attention.

Best regards,

Logan Campbell
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Logan Campbell's additional comments and suggestions with regard to Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 for face coverings.

Ann

-----Original Message-----
From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 1:55 PM
To: 'ThePack' [redacted]
Subject: RE: In SUPPORT of proposed Silver City law regarding masks

Dear M. Campbell,

You are very welcome and thank you for your additional comments and suggestions. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors for their consideration.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

-----Original Message-----
From: ThePack [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 1:51 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Re: In SUPPORT of proposed Silver City law regarding masks
Dear Ms. Mackie,

Thank you for sending the text of the proposed ordinance, I have found it very informative, and I appreciate you doing that.

I don't know if this is the appropriate forum for this, but I do have a comment on Section C, paragraph 2, specifying when face coverings are not required. Subsection f) talks about the case of a face mask causing or aggravating a health condition. Here is the relevant text:

f) when wearing a face covering causes or aggravates a health condition.
Employees
of the public and private employers for whom wearing a face covering causes or aggravates a health condition must document the condition with their employer.

I wholeheartedly agree with this exception. People who have health conditions that would be aggravated by wearing a mask should be allowed an exception.

My comment is, that this leaves open a loop hole that I believe will be abused. According to the current text, only employees of a public or private employer must document their condition, and only to their employer. This leaves open the possibility that somebody walking down the street could not wear a mask and claim they have a relevant health condition, and not provide any documentation. So it will be just their unsupported word.

It is nobody's business WHAT any such health condition might be, other than the person involved and their health care provider. But given the situation, it does not seem unreasonable that such a person be required to document that there IS a condition.

So I would like to suggest a possible change to part f), as follows:

f) when wearing a face covering causes or aggravates a health condition.
Any person for whom wearing a face covering causes or aggravates a health condition must document the condition to their employer or a police or code enforcement person.

Or words to that effect... I've never written an ordinance before, so my exact wording may not be appropriate, either. The intent is to reduce the potential for abuse of this exception.

Again, thank you for providing the text for this proposed ordinance.

Best regards,
Logan Campbell

On 7/30/20 10:19 AM, Town Clerk Silver City wrote:
> Dear M. Campbell,
>
> Thank you for your comments on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. The final vote on the ordinance is scheduled for the August 25 Council meeting. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. We appreciate your input.
>
> Best regards,
> Ann
---Original Message-----

From: ThelPack <tj@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 10:00 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: In SUPPORT of proposed Silver City law regarding masks

I am writing today to register my strong SUPPORT for the proposed law in Silver City to require the wearing of masks to help slow and prevent further spread of the Covid-19 disease caused by the SARS-CoV2 virus.

Unless and until a safe, effective vaccine or treatment is developed, wearing masks and physical distancing are the only tools we have to combat this virus.

Yeah, masks are uncomfortable to wear, especially in the summer heat. I’m not a huge fan of the actual wearing of them, myself. However, the science behind their effectiveness, combined with physical distancing, is persuasive. My family and I have worn masks 100% of the time in public for many weeks now.

The science behind this approach depends on near-universal adoption of masks and physical distancing. Currently, too many people are not taking this seriously.

I would prefer that such a law not be necessary, and that people would take these simple steps on their own initiative. That has clearly not happened, as evidenced by the ever increasing case count in New Mexico and Grand County and here in Silver City. So clearly, such a law is necessary at this time, and I support it.

Thank you for your attention.

Best regards,

Logan Campbell
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Ms. Shook’s comments below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 1:36 PM
To: 'ROLAND BETSY SHOOK' <roland.shook@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Mask ordinance

Dear Ms. Shook,

Thank you for your comments regarding the proposed ordinance about face coverings. The Notice of Intent Ordinance can be viewed on our website here http://www.townofsilvertex.gov/DocumentCenter/View/1173/Notice-of-Intent-Ordinance-1293-Now-Section-22-30-Face-Coverings. The Council amended Section 22-30 C. 1) f) so it now states, “walking or running in public”.

I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6348
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or
distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: ROLAND Betsy Shook
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2020 5:46 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Mask ordinance

Dear Ms. Mackie and members of city council:

I am very concerned about the need to add an town ordinance to force people to wear masks in public. It should be enough for stores and other businesses to require a mask for entry into their establishments. Why should we need to wear a mask when out for a walk, putting gas in the car (without going into the store/station) or other outdoor activities? To require people exercising outside to wear a mask is terrible. Being out in the fresh air and sunshine is supposed to be the best thing.

Please rethink the wording on your proposed ordinance, especially the phrase: "or walking or running in public; during outdoor physical activities such as exercising and sports."

I really think there should not be any ordinance and do not think our police need to add "Mask monitoring" to their duties. The people who refuse to wear masks will be denied entry into the stores and businesses and they are only endangering themselves. Please drop this idea of having police going after people not wearing masks, and if there must be a town ordinance, please allow people to be outside enjoying exercising, and fresh air, or pumping gas – alone to give them the option of wearing a mask or not.

Respectfully, Betsy Shook,
Please see Ms. Stanford’s comments below on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face masks.

Thanks,
Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
To: ‘JO STANFORD’
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 1:42 PM
Subject: RE: Masks

Dear Ms. Stanford,

Thank you for your comments. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance for your reference.

Thanks,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: JO STANFORD <stansandrine2020@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 11:28 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Masks

The World Health Organization urges people NOT to wear masks while exercising. Masks reduce the ability to breathe when damp and also promotes the growth of microorganisms that can cause illness. The important preventative measure during exercise is to maintain physical distance.

I believe the proposed wearing of masks while outside exercising could cause more harm than good. Keep social distance while walking/jogging makes more sense to me.
Please see Ms. Finn’s comments below on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 1:44 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Town Ordinance #1293

Dear Mary Ann,

Thank you for your comments regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 about face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance for your reference.

Thanks,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvertcynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
Dear Town Councillors,

Thank you for putting forth this ordinance regarding the wearing of facial coverings. I think it is essential for the control of the virus, as well as for the rejuvenation of the local economy. If we slow the spread of the virus, that will be great. And if people feel safer going about their needed business, that will be great, too, as more local support of the economy will be very helpful. Such a simple measure may achieve so much.

Gratefully,

Mary Ann Finn
Please see Ms. Wamsley's comments in her email below on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:09 AM
To: 'Krisandra Wamsley' <krisandra.wamsley@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Mask ordinance

Dear Ms. Wamsley,

Thank you for your comments regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote on this ordinance is scheduled for their Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 pm.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1186
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt
by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Krisandra Wamsley <krisandrawamsley@...>
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 6:00 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Re: Mask ordinance

To Clerk Ann Mackie, Mayor Ken Ladner and town council members, I want to implore you to read this email in its entirety. I sincerely appreciate you accepting comments; my hope is the gesture is genuine and that my words will not be summarily dismissed.

Consider the evidence against masks as discussed in the New England Journal of Medicine. “We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little if any protection from infection. Public Health authorities define a significant exposure to Covid-19 as face-to-face contact within 6 feet with a patient with symptomatic Covid-19 that is sustained for at least a few minutes (and some say more than 10 minutes or even 20 minutes). The chance of catching Covid-19 from a passing interaction in a public space is therefore minimal. In many cases the desire for widespread masking is a reflexive reaction to anxiety over the pandemic.”

I am enclosing a list of references/studies regarding mask usage. I would hope the individuals considering enforcing mask usage would peruse this information when considering their actions. You really have an obligation to do so.

I just feel that the current narrative regarding masks is one sided and without any healthy discussion regarding “real” scientific evidence. The individuals promoting masks are not the only legitimate professional individuals in our country. It is extremely concerning to me that there is no allowable debate, rather censorship of any and all other truths. I believe that common sense and critical thinking skills appear to be absent in a frightening percentage of the population.

I also believe it is healthy and critical to not just take mainstream information as truth. I feel it is incumbent upon all of us to apply due diligence to this issue. There are many doctors, scientists, lawyers, immunologists, virologists etc. who are speaking out and questioning these draconian mandates and I feel they should be heard. The truth is being censored. Do you not listen to or hear these people?

You know, this virus is real, yes it will kill some of us (not nearly as many as the flu, check your sources), but it is not the Black Plague. Numbers are inflated, statistics have been manipulated, tests are not accurate, non covid deaths are being counted as covid, 85+% of persons recover, criteria for covid diagnosis is constantly changing, dying of covid vs dying with covid are not the same, the death rate is decreasing. One has to wonder what is the purpose for keeping the whole world in a state of irrational fear.

I feel that what the cit’s council and mayor
I feel that what the city's council and mayor

1. T Jefferson, M Jones, et al. Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory
   viruses. MedRxiv. 2020 Apr

2. J Xiao, F Shi, et al. Nonpharmaceutical measures for pandemic influenza in non-healthcare settings -
   personal protective and environmental measures. Centers for Disease Control. 26(5). 2020
   May. https://www.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article

3. J Brainard, N Jones, et al. Facemasks and similar barriers to prevent respiratory illness such as

4. L Radonovich M, Simberkoff, et al. N95 respirators vs medical masks for preventing influenza among
   833. https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2749214

5. J Smith, C MacDougall. CMAJ. 2016 May 17. 188(8): 567-
   https://www.cma.ca/content/188/8/567

   systematic review of the scientific evidence. 2012 Jul; 6(4): 257-
   267. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3779801/

7. C J Jacobs, S Ohde, et al. Use of surgical face masks to reduce the incidence of the common cold among
   healthcare workers in Japan: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Infect Control. 2009 Jun; 37(5): 417-


    over-the-counter masks, medical masks, general masks, and handkerchiefs. Aerosol Air Qual Res. 2013 Jun
are attempting to accomplish with this mask law is unconstitutional. Also it goes without saying that you must be knowledgeable about the human immune system and so much more knowledge that is available if you would just take the time to look. I hope you will consider what you are deciding for human beings. We are not all in this together.

I will end with this quote: “when you give up freedom for security, you will get neither”.

Respectfully,
Krisandra Wamsley

PS; Will you please confirm this letter has been received. I would appreciate feedback. Thank you
Dear Mayor and Councilors,

Please see Mr. Rotherham's comments below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

Dear Mr. Rotherham,

Thank you for your comments on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6348
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by
return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: noreply@civicplus.com <noreply@civicplus.com>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 1:44 PM
To: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov>; Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Online Form Submittal: General Inquiry / Complaint Form

General Inquiry / Complaint Form

General Inquiry / Complaint Form:

Please complete the online form below to submit your complaint.

Contact Information

First Name  Wilfred
Last Name  Rotherham
Address1-Physical Location
Address2-Mailing Address  Field not completed.
City
State
Zip
Home Phone Number
Cell Phone Number  Field not completed.
Daytime Phone Number  Field not completed.
Email Address
Select your preferred choice of contact  Home Phone
Date of Request  7/31/2020
Please Specify General  I was very disappointed in the city council deciding to make the
Inquiry or Complaint. 

wearing of masks mandatory. This is a matter of personal freedom, not of healthcare, or city authority. There is no proof that mask wearing is a deterrent to the Covid-19 disease.

I would ask you to review your decision and repeal this ordinance.

Wilfred

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.
Please see Mr. Jarosh’s email below with comments on NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Thanks,
Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 1:50 PM
To: 'dean jarosh' <dean.jarosh@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Mask Ordinance

Dear Mr. Jarosh,

Thank you for your comments regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P. O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: dean jarosh
To: Town Clerk Silver City
townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
Subject: Mask Ordinance

I think the mask ordinance is a good plan and I support it. One modification I would like to see however is for those people out running, walking, bicycling, exercising, playing tennis, etc., as long as they are maintaining social distancing protocols, be exempt from wearing a mask.

I carry a mask with me when out walking and if I am going to come into close contact with someone else I put it on.

Thank you for taking comments, Dean Jarosh
From: Town Clerk Silver City
To: "Ken Linder", Councilor Bettison, Councilor Lynda D. Aman-Smith (councillordistrict2@silvercitynm.gov); Councilor Cano; Jose A. Ray (jose_r88061@yahoo.com)
Subject: FW: Public Input on NOI Ordinance 1293 - NO MASK
Date: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:15:00 AM
Attachments: NOI Ord 1293 New Section 22-30 FaceCoverings.pdf

Please see Mr. and Mrs. Pedigo's comments below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:14 AM
To: 'RACHEL PEDIGO' <rachelpedigomcc@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: NO MASK

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Pedigo,

Thank you for your comments on the proposed ordinance regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The vote on the ordinance is scheduled for the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 pm. The Town's website, www.townofsilvercity.org, will have the meeting details on August 21.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries
Hello Ann, please forward this to everyone on the City Council, Commissioners and Mayor.

It's bad enough that the Governor has mandated the face mask as a state wide order; then you all go along with this in our little town. If you watch the main stream news sure it all seems SO bad, but they are all controlled and owned by the far left which I assume ALL of you are. This whole pandemic is fake and being used in my opinion to keep us all from paying attention to all the other crazy communist take over themes like the BLM, Antifia riots, upcoming election hell world war 3 seems imminent.

Our country is being taken over, this mask ordinance is just another way to control us and see what they can make us do. Why isn't voluntary mask wearing good enough. Those that want to wear one shouldn't be affected by me not wearing one right? But the media would have you believe otherwise.

What are your motives? Do you really believe their is a pandemic? Are you all really this naive or like MLG being paid or coerced into this decision? I'm not saying that Covid19 isn't real, but there are so many doctors who are coming out with the truth about it just being a cold. Why have so many #s been faked? Die of death by car crash and death certificate says Covid19!

This ordinance isn't just stupid it's harmful, non of you are qualified to be in your positions. Step down now! Signed, David and Rachel Pedigo 7/31/20
Please see Ms. Chick's comments below on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:18 AM
To: 'Victoria Chick' <victoria.chick@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: proposed ordinance regarding face coverings

Dear Ms. Chick,

Thank you for your comments regarding the proposed ordinance on face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councillors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your review. The final vote is scheduled for the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6pm. You can get the meeting details on the Town's website, www.townofsilvercity.org, on August 21.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
I wear a mask when I am in Silver City and appreciate those who do likewise. I do not think there should be any penalty for not wearing one. Peer pressure and store regulations should be enough to encourage people to respect their neighbors. Expecting the police to ticket people for not having a mask is a waste of police time. I don't freak out when I see someone without a mask. Some people have health issues that are aggravated by mask wearing and there is no reason for them to incur an additional medical expense by having their Dr. write out the equivalent of a "hall pass" or for the police to stop them to check. This penalty aspect of the proposed ordinance will only foster ill will and annoyance toward the town council.
Please see Mrs. Saxton’s comments below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:22 AM
To: Mr. & Mrs. Lewis Saxton
Subject: RE: Face Coverings Ordinance is Unnecessary

Dear Mrs. Saxton,

Thank you for your comments regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote is scheduled for the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 Pm. You can get the meeting details on the Town’s website, www.townofsilvercity.org, on August 21.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-8346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries
where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Mr. & Mrs. Lewis Saxton
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2020 7:12 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City
Subject: Face Coverings Ordinance is Unnecessary

The proposed Face Covering Ordinance is totally unnecessary and very demeaning to the people of the Town of Silver City. It is unnecessary because people are already wearing masks. Wherever I go in the Town, people are wearing masks -- I see them downtown, in Albertsons, in Wal-Mart, in private businesses and government offices. Businesses are requiring that masks be worn on their premises. Employers are having their employees wear masks. Why over regulate people and threaten them with punishment when they are already voluntarily self-regulating? It demeanes and belittles people. The Governor has made mandates regarding wearing face coverings and it is enough that the Town has endorsed those mandates. Shouldn’t the Town be commending the people of the Town and Grant County for what they are doing? I unequivocally oppose this proposal.

Barbara Saxton
Please see Mr. Minick’s comments about NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:28 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Assistant to Exec Department
Subject: FW: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Dear Mr. Minick,

Thank you for your comments regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote is scheduled during the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 pm. You can get the meeting details on August 21 from the Town’s website www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel.: 575-534-6346
Fax.: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries
where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 8:38 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Cc: Silver City Town Manager <TownManager@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: FW: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

FYI, comments from website, please forward to Mayor and Councilors.

Tina C. Sublasky
Assistant to Executive Department
Town of Silver City
P. O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Phone: 575.534.6358
Fax: 575.534.6377

From: noreply@civicplus.com <noreply@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 8:21 PM
To: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Address: PO Box 1188 Silver City, NM 88062, Phone: 575-534-6358

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Larry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Minick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you find us?</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>City Web Page</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Your Comments or Questions** | **Mr Mayor and Council men,**  
Please do more to protect the health of the citizens of Grant County. Educating people on the benefits of wearing masks and social distancing is not enough and the results are endangering the health of the entire community. Go down to the park on Virginia most evenings between 6 and 7pm and you will find between 20 and 50 folks having a grand olc time, no masks, no distancing. We don't feel safe walking through there even though we are wearing masks. Don't let a few selfish people terrorize our community. The Governor has the right idea and the states numbers attest to it. If it takes fines to get people to start doing the right thing, well its past time to start implementing them. I do feel as a whole the people of Grant County have done an outstanding job of dealing with this crisis. Today I saw my handicapped neighbor who is on oxygen 24hrs a day driving to the store with a mask on. If he can do it there is know reason the rest us can't also. |

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.]
Please see Ms. Brown’s comments regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 9:25 AM
To: 'Marci Brown' <marci-brown@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Mask Law Comment

Dear Ms. Brown,

Thank you for your comments regarding the proposed ordinance for face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councillors.

I have attached a copy of the Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings for your reference. The final vote is scheduled for the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 pm. You can get the meeting details on August 21 from the Town’s website at www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1186
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: Marci Brown
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2020 7:53 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Mask Law Comment

Dear Mayor and Town Councilors of Silver City,
Thank you for receiving my comment to the mask law that you are proposing.

I wish to express my desire that you would NOT pass a law forcing the citizens and visitors of Silver City wearing masks in Silver City. Instead I would wish that you would trust the citizens of Silver City and it’s visitors to do the right thing for the good of our community. I would understand better the need for a law such as this if our City were in dire circumstances concerning the outbreak of the COVID 19 virus. Our hospital is not overrun with cases, at this point, taxing our community resources and doctors.

Please do not pass this law.

Thank you,
Marci Brown.
We received a voicemail message on Friday, July 31 at 9:47 pm from Jimmie McCraw (female), phone [redacted]. She said she supports the mask law with fines in place. She said fines are very effective and fines of $50 to $100 will help. She described how she was fined $100 for no seat belt one time and learned a lesson and never went without a seat belt again. She said she works in the emergency room and feels like she should not have to care for people that will not wear a mask. She said there should be a national database of the names of people that are not wearing masks so people can refer to it and not treat them or care for them since it puts all of their lives at risk, and the family they go home to at risk. She hopes the law is put in place ASAP and that local police will enforce it, send people to court and be fined.

I will email her the NOI at [redacted].

Ann
Please see Mr. Burrows email below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
To: 'Peter Burrows' <peterburrows@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Facemask ordinance

Dear Mr. Burrows,

Thank you for your comments regarding Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote is scheduled during the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6pm. You can get the meeting details on August 21 on the Town's website www.townofsilvercity.org

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: Peter Burrows <peter.burrows@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 2:31 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Facemask ordinance

Ann,

Please forward to the city councilors.
Thanks,
Peter Burrows

Ladies and gentleman,
I recommend the councilors vote against the proposed facemask ordinance. There are times when draconian government action is required, but this is not one of those times. This is not London; we are not suffering a Blitzkrieg.

Yes, we are threatened by a dangerous virus, one probably more deadly than the flu for those over 65. There have been about 21,000 cases in New Mexico and 651 deaths. Of the deaths reported in The Grant County Beat, most are people over 70. In Grant County there have been 67 reported cases. The population of Grant County is about 28,000. There have been two deaths here.

Those numbers certainly warrant caution, but not panic. While the spread of Covid-19 may be slowed by all of us wearing facemasks, there is no consensus that facemasks are very effective, if at all. I cite two articles below.

The proposed ordinance is an overreaction, an abuse of power that will prove very divisive. To require facemasks when “walking or running in public,” or “during outdoor physical activities,” is more dictatorial than medical. Apparently, you can get fined for not wearing a mask while playing tennis in Silver City, but not if you are trying to tear down a statue of Juan de Onate in Albuquerque.

New Mexico is also releasing prisoners early in order to slow the spread of Covid-19. Here in Grant County, manager Charlene Webb said that “judges have issued guidance asking law enforcement to use their discretion” when making arrests to “limit the number of people coming into the detention center” during the Covid-19 crisis.

A cynic could make the case that criminals are being released all over New Mexico to make way for facemask violators, but not rioters. This is a very poor time to threaten joggers and bikers with get-tough laws.

Finally, as an 80-year-old, I am especially at risk and will be using a facemask where appropriate, and taking other measures to safeguard my health. I do not need government threats to do this.
Peter Burrows, Silver City
1) From an article in The Sun, 8/3/20: **SCIENTISTS in the Netherlands have said face masks in public places are "not necessary" and might even have a "negative impact".** The Dutch have not made it compulsory to wear face coverings in public - despite a rise in coronavirus cases. [https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/uknews/12292821/face-masks-not-necessary-say-holland-scientists/](https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/uknews/12292821/face-masks-not-necessary-say-holland-scientists/)


As the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic continues to explode, hospital systems are scrambling to intensify their measures for protecting patients and health care workers from the virus. An increasing number of frontline providers are wondering whether this effort should include universal use of masks by all health care workers. Universal masking is already standard practice in Hong Kong, Singapore, and other parts of Asia and has recently been adopted by a handful of U.S. hospitals.

**We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little, if any, protection from infection.** Public health authorities define a significant exposure to Covid-19 as face-to-face contact within 6 feet with a patient with symptomatic Covid-19 that is sustained for at least a few minutes (and some say more than 10 minutes or even 30 minutes). The chance of catching Covid-19 from a passing interaction in a public space is therefore minimal. In many cases, the desire for widespread masking is a reflexive reaction to anxiety over the pandemic.
Please see Ms. Rocko’s email below with her input on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding facial coverings.

Ann

From: Bonnie Rocko <rockob@rockcorporate.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2020 7:10 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Re: Voting on Mask Ordinance

Good morning Ann,

Yes you can forward the email to all the town councilors.

I appreciate your help.

Bonnie Rocko

From: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 5, 2020 1:09 PM
To: Bonnie Rocko <rockob@rockcorporate.com>
Subject: RE: Voting on Mask Ordinance

Dear Ms. Rocko,

Thank you for your comments concerning the proposed ordinance regarding facial coverings. I will forward your email to Mayor Ladner as addressed. Please let me know if you would like me to also forward it to the four Town Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote is scheduled for the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 pm. You can obtain those meeting details on August 21 in the Agenda Center at www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Bonnie Rocko <BonnieRocko@silversun.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2020 7:30 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Voting on Mask Ordinance

Dear Mr. Ladner,

I have seen the article in the newspaper that you would like public input before you vote on the mandatory face mask issue. I would like you to vote against a mandatory mask ordinance. I do not believe that making people wear masks while they are walking or exercising outside even in public would be beneficial to anyone.

If there is such a high risk being outside in public why does the governor’s health order say that people can eat “outside dining” areas?

So if Silver City passes this ordinance will you shut down all the outside dining facilities because people would not be wearing a mask - because you can’t eat while wearing a mask.

Just food for thought!

Get Outlook for iOS
Please see Rosemary Smith’s comments below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 8:30 AM
To: 'Rosemary Smith' <rosemary.smith@townofsilверcity.org>
Subject: RE: Against proposed face covering ordinance

Dear Ms. Smith,

Thank you for your input on Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference.

I will forward your email to the Mayor and Town Councilors. The final vote on this ordinance is scheduled for the Town Council meeting on August 25 at 6 pm. You can obtain meeting details on August 21 in the Agenda Center of the Town’s website www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: Rosemary Smith <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2020 5:15 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Against proposed face covering ordinance

townclerk@silvercitynm.gov 8/14/20

Re: Notice of Intent Ordinance No. 1293 – Face Coverings

Statistics as of today in New Mexico, 8/14/20:

- NM population estimated at 2,100,000.

- To date 697 NM deaths (supposedly) attributed to Covid (we all already know some of these deaths had other contributing, pre-existing co-morbidities). The death rate attributable to Covid-19 for New Mexico is tragic, no matter how many. \[697 \div 2,100,000 = 0.0003319, \text{ way, way below 1%}\]

- Of those reported to have contracted the virus (22,987), 697 have died, or 3.03%. As stated above, some of those had other medical conditions that may have also contributed to these deaths. \[697 \div 22,987 = 0.0303, \text{ or } 3.03\%\] Conversely, 22,290 have not died, even though contracting Covid. That is 96.97% among those who contracted the virus, but have not died.

- Silver City Daily Press has reported two deaths of Silver City residents attributable to Covid-19.

These statistics should pause all conversation about a mask ordinance for Silver City!

The Town Council finds that further regulation at the local level is necessary...

Argument against: It is not helpful to keep hearing and reading about this virus and its accompanying negative hype (Today ___ people have died, ___ more people have tested positive, and only ___ people have recovered.) The City has lost income in this pandemic (without a doubt), so will enforcing this ordinance boost the city coffers? Have you thought how much Police time is going to be diverted from dealing with usual and not-so-usual crimes and lawlessness? With court cases protesting the ordinance (to be expected), how much of Police officers’ work days will be diverted to attending hearings? Clogging up the municipal court system with frivolous cases over not wearing a face covering and wearing out judges who have to spend time penalizing, scolding and reprimanding people sounds like a nightmare for law enforcement! Let the healthy people freely go about their business and use their common sense.
(C) Face covering requirement when:
1-c interacting with other people in outdoor space
1-f walking or running in public
1-g during outdoor physical activities

**Argument against**: What is the science that dictates these three over-reaching conditions be included? Can you show any respectable scientific research that would indicate we pollute the air by being outdoors in Silver City? Silver City is not densely populated, councilors. Is there any science that proves the air we inhale and exhale when walking in our neighborhood or at the track or walking en route to work is unhealthy for others? These over-the-top provisions will further intimidate some weak-minded or frightened townspeople to stay indoors. Do you intend to discourage being outdoors when we all know being in the fresh air is good for the body and soul and for our immune system? Lots of people walk to gain health benefits and physical exercise at the outdoor tracks and in neighborhoods. To hamper those walks by requiring everyone to wear a mask will likely inhibit the desire to get out to exercise this way, which is always bad for the health of so many to stop walking. Ask any doctor if they’d approve of their patients giving up walking 40 minutes a day, especially if outdoors. They would not.

I strongly say no to this ordinance requiring the use of face coverings. You have succumbed to the fear and anxiety of the national news media. Fortunately for us, at this time Silver City is not at risk like the more densely-populated areas of the country. Most importantly, you have failed to enumerate any credible science to back up these provisions to wearing masks outdoors.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Smith
Please see Ms. Petty's comments about NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 7:58 AM
To: assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov
Cc: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Ms. Petty,

Thank you for your comments concerning Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Town Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote on the ordinance is scheduled for the August 25, 6 pm Council Meeting. You can get the meeting details on August 21 from the Town’s website at www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Address: PO Box 1188 Silver City, NM 88062, Phone: 575-534-6358

First Name: Diane
Last Name: Petty
Email: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]
City: [redacted]
State: [redacted]
Zip Code: [redacted]
How did you find us? Field not completed.
Your Comments or Questions: I ask that you vote against the ordinance No.1293 to require face coverings in public. As of to date Grant County has had 72 positive cases and 2 deaths and does not amount to the continued alarm or crisis this Covid virus was thought to believe it would. Wearing face coverings should be and remain a personal choice. It is time for this community to get back to normal.
Please see Mr. Petty's comments below on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 8:04 AM
To: Josie Ray
Cc: Assistant to Exec Department
Subject: FW: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Dear Mr. Petty,

Thank you for your comments concerning Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Town Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote on this ordinance is scheduled for the August 25, 6 pm Town Council Meeting. You can get the meeting details on August 21 from the Agenda Center on the Town's website www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:town clerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries...
The COVID-19 has NOT proven to be as deadly nor as contagious as we were originally lead to believe. The death rate in Grant County is .02%. Of the 17,000 residents in Grant County only 72 have gotten COVID-19 certainly not the danger we were told it was in March. Many medical experts assure us that masks don't do anything to prevent COVID-19. I urge you to vote
against wearing masks.

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.
Please see Ms. Bray's comments below regarding NOI Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

-----Original Message-----
From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 9:53 AM
To: 'Marianne Bray' <br>
Subject: RE: Ordinance 1293

Dear Ms. Bray,

Thank you for your comments concerning Notice of Intent Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Town Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The final vote is scheduled for the August 25, 6 pm Town Council Meeting. You can get the meeting details on August 21 in the Agenda Center of the Town's website at www.townofsilvercity.org.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

-----Original Message-----
From: Marianne Bray <br>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 9:40 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Ordinance 1293

We do not need another unenforceable ordinance, when the town budget is already being cut and businesses are closing.
Vote no on Ordinance 1293.

Marianne Bray

Sent from my iPhone
Please see Mr. Klement’s comments below on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2020 10:00 AM
To: 'sherry klement' <sherry.klement@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Dear Mr. Klement,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Town Councilors. You may find the meeting details on the Agenda Center of our website at www.townofsilvercity.org. The instructions to attend the meeting are provided in the Legal Notice.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackle, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: sherry klement
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2020 9:36 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Hello,
I just spoke with you on the phone concerning the upcoming council meeting next Tuesday. The following is the email I sent to the 4 councilmen and the mayor.

Dear _____,
I urge you to vote NO on this ordinance in your upcoming meeting. The governor’s various mandates already address this and many other issues concerning covid 19. If you pass this, are you going to enforce this by having law enforcement issue citations to us? Citizens already have enough on their minds to deal with covid 19.
Gery Klement

I’m very concerned about various governments’ reactions to covid 19.
Thanks,
Gery Klement

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Please see Mr. and Mrs. Pedigo’s email below with input on Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 8:26 AM
To: 'RACHEL PEDIGO' <rachelpedigo@r.dominionemail.com>
Subject: RE: Public Input

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Pedigo,

Thank you for your comments concerning Ordinance No. 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on it at tomorrow's remote Council Meeting at 6 pm. I've attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The details for attending the remote meeting are in the legal notice.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: RACHEL PEDIGO <[redacted]>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2020 4:07 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Public Input

Hello Ann, this letter is for Public Input on the Approval / Disapproval of Ordinance No. 1293: An Ordinance amending Chapter 22 of the Town of Silver City Municipal Code, creating a new Section 22-30 regarding the use of face coverings by employees and customers when inside places of business and by all members of the public when in public places.

It's bad enough that the Governor has mandated the face mask as a state wide order; then you all go along with this in our little town. If you watch the main stream news sure it all seems SO bad, but they are all controlled and owned by the far left which I assume ALL of you are. This whole pandemic is fake and being used in my opinion to keep us all from paying attention to all the other crazy communist take over themes like the BLM, Antifa riots, upcoming election hell world war 3 seems imminent.

Our country is being taken over, this mask ordinance is just another way to control us and see what they can make us do. Why isn't voluntary mask wearing good enough. Those that want to wear one shouldn't be affected by me not wearing one right? But the media would have you believe otherwise.

What are your motives? Do you really believe their is a pandemic? Are you all really this naive or like MLG being paid or coerced into this decision? I'm not saying that Covid19 isn't real, but there are so many doctors who are coming out with the truth about it just being a cold. Why have so many #s been faked? Die of death by car crash and death certificate says Covid19! This ordinance isn't just stupid it's harmful, non of you are qualified to be in your positions. Step down now! Signed, David and Rachel Pedigo 8/22/20

From: "Town Clerk Silver City" <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
To: "dave-rachel" <[redacted]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 9:14:00 AM
Subject: RE: NO MASK

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Pedigo,

Thank you for your comments on the proposed ordinance regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors.

I have attached a copy of the proposed ordinance to this email for your reference. The vote on the ordinance is scheduled for the Council Meeting on August 25 at 6 pm. The Town's website, www.townofsilvercity.org, will have the meeting details on August 21.
Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: RACHEL PEDIGO <redacted>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2020 4:26 PM
To: townclerk@silvercitynm.gov.
Subject: NO MASK

Hello Ann, please forward this to everyone on the City Council, Commissioners and Mayor.
It's bad enough that the Governor has mandated the face mask as a state wide order; then you all go along with this in our little town. If you watch the main stream news sure it all seems SO bad, but they are all controlled and owned by the far left which I assume ALL of you are. This whole pandemic is fake and being used in my opinion to keep us all from paying attention to all the other crazy communist take over themes like the BLM, Antifa riots, upcoming election hell world war 3 seems imminent.

Our country is being taken over, this mask ordinance is just another way to control us and see what they can make us do. Why isn't voluntary mask wearing good enough. Those that want to wear one shouldn't be affected by me not wearing one right? But the media would have you believe otherwise.
What are your motives? Do you really believe their is a pandemic? Are you all really this naïve or like MLG being paid or coerced into this decision? I'm not saying that
Covid19 isn't real, but there are so many doctors who are coming out with the truth about it just being a cold. Why have so many #’s been faked? Die of death by car crash and death certificate says Covid19!
This ordinance isn’t just stupid it's harmful, non of you are qualified to be in your positions. Step down now! Signed, David and Rachel Pedigo 7/31/20
Please see Ms. Hart's comments below regarding Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 8:50 AM
To: 'Kelly Hart' <kelly.hart@silverscitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 22

Dear Ms. Hart,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance No. 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on it during their Council Meeting tomorrow night at 6pm. I have attached a copy of the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice gives the details on how to attend the remote Council meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silverscitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
Hi,
Can you please send the following to the town council? I tried to send it to info@townofsilvercity.org and it didn't go through.

To whomever it may concern,

I am opposed to the adoption of

ORDINANCE NO. 1293

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 22 OF THE TOWN OF SILVER CITY MUNICIPAL CODE, CREATING A NEW SECTION 22-30 REGARDING THE USE OF FACE COVERINGS BY EMPLOYEES AND CUSTOMERS WHEN INSIDE PLACES OF BUSINESS AND BY ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHEN IN PUBLIC PLACES

I feel that giving the local police the authority to arrest someone who is not wearing a face mask in a public place is a contradiction of the personal freedom that we Americans expect in our society. There is already a state mandate in place about this and most local businesses are already requiring face masks, so further ordinances are not necessary. This action will only increase the resistance that many people already have to this requirement, making for more frustration and an impulse to rebel.

Sincerely,

Kelly Hart
Please see Mr. Sexton's email and attached letter regarding Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 9:00 AM
To: Mr. & Mrs. Lewis Saxton
Subject: RE: Letter to Town Council

Dear Mr. Sexton,

Thank you for your input concerning proposed Ordinance No. 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email and letter to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed Ordinance tomorrow night during their remote Council Meeting. I have attached a copy of the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice provides the details on how to attend the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvertown.org
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: Mr. & Mrs. Lewis Saxton
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2020 3:06 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Letter to Town Council

Please forward the attached letter to the Town Council. Thank You!

--
Lewis Saxton

Virus-free. www.avg.com
To the Silver City Town Council:

This is a time in our country that many in our various governmental bodies throughout the country have lost sight of the traditional moral values and God given rights that they have the responsibility to uphold and protect.

We pray that you will protect and promote our traditional moral values and God given rights as you deliberate for the needs of our community.

I must first bring to your attention some of the most recent past history of the United States of America. My father was an army medic in the Southern Pacific campaigns of World War II. He was in the third wave of the invasion of Leyte, the third wave of the invasion of New Guinea and then in jungle ground combat. Of his division of over three thousand men only fifteen men survived. He survived only because he was not fatally wounded by a sniper and a machine gun. After he got out of the hospital he returned to New Mexico and completed his bachelors degree at the teachers college here in Silver City so that he could begin his life’s goal to be an educator.

He loved history and his understanding of the application of history was astute. As a result of that he understood and stated that the reason that we fought World War II was to protect the value of human life and to protect the God given rights that we have under the Declaration of Independence and the United States Constitution.

When we understand what our country fought for less than a century ago and we observe what is happening within our country in the present day we see our civilization collapsing. We no longer value human life as we have legalized the murder of the unborn and our various governments are stripping us of our God given rights. Within the last couple of weeks the stripping of our rights has begun to cascade as more states have illegally mandated even more impositions.

I will now explain how this pertains to mask mandates:
Note: a right is something that can not be taken away!
1) As the Declaration of Independence states we are granted the God given rights of Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.

I have researched how masks can prevent the spread of a virus. Since a virus is so tiny (so tiny that the human mind can hardly comprehend just how tiny it really is) it can pass right through the typical mask. A good way to understand this is to visualize keeping mosquitoes out of your house with a chain link fence. A surgical mask may help some, but in non sterile operating room air it is only useful for fifteen minutes and is being clogged and contaminated from both sides. An N95 mask is excellent for construction large particle dust and sawdust though it has a valve that you exhale through. Added to this are the facts that a mask compromises oxygen intake which then compromises our immune system making us even more susceptible to any disease and requiring a person that is not sick to wear a mask is asking them to be more vulnerable to catching the virus or any other disease.

Requiring a person that is not sick to wear a mask (as you can’t spread a sickness that you do not have) is complete idiocy, but it does give us the understanding what the mask mandates are actually for and that is 1) create a sense of fear and 2) create division between people. When you have someone screaming at another person that they are not protecting others for not wearing a mask when they are not even sick and may even have other biological reasons why they are not wearing a mask you begin to see the sociallogical insanity that mask mandates are used to create.
We can now see the real reason for mask mandates and that is to fool the people so that they will fear the unknown and fear others creating political division based on fear alone!

You may think that mandates are based on science. I would agree, but it is not biological science that they are based on it is mind control science and propaganda science.

Now how do our God given rights of Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness apply here: the best examples of this are the countries and U.S. States that did not have any mandates. They had less spread of disease and less fatalities per capita than other areas. Why was this? The answer is obvious; they left it up to the Liberty of their populations. In that way people took care of themselves by staying home if they were sick; going to work when they were well so that their household and area economies did not suffer; and they stayed psychologically healthier as they were not trapped at home in a state of unreasonable fear and uncertainty.

Life is compromised as masks compromise our immune system by minimizing oxygen intake and also helping to create a state of psychological uncertainty that also may compromise our immune system.

In conclusion I would point out that we are experiencing a truly orwellian and the king has no clothes time. The little boy cried out “Look masks do no good; in fact are harmful.” and the people did not listen. Also we have a proven treatment for this virus. Proven in this country and other countries and we are told by the main stream media that this treatment is deadly! As in the lingo of the old west we are all being hornswagled.

As a Christian I have a clear view of the reality of what is going on. There is no fear in the Lord Jesus Christ. In Isaiah 8:13 :Sanctify the Lord of hosts himself and let Him be your fear and let Him be your dread.

I sincerely hope that you will take these carefully researched concepts into consideration as you ponder imposing more radical and unnecessary rules and regulations.

With all due respect
Lewis A. Saxton
Please see Ms. Bender's comments below regarding Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 9:08 AM
To: 'Diana Bender' <deletable>
Subject: RE: Public Input

Dear Ms. Bender,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance No. 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed Ordinance during their Council Meeting tomorrow night at 6 pm. I have attached a copy of the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice provides the details on how to attend the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: Diana Bender <diana.bender@example.com>  
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2020 3:34 PM  
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>  
Subject: Public Input

As a resident of Silver City, I am submitting the following feedback about the proposed Silver City Mask Ordinance:

1. I am opposed to creating a mask ordinance in Silver City at this time. I favor providing measures to protect employees and service providers without passing this ordinance. I favor using existing public nuisance / health regulations if particularly "bad actors" are placing others at risk.

2. I am opposed to fines being imposed for non compliance with any mask ordinance.

3. I am opposed to placing our local law enforcement personnel in the position of, or to spending resources on, enforcement / compliance.

3. If passed, I ask that a sunset provision be included, doing away with the ordinance by a given date if not extended, and providing simple means to extend it until it is no longer viewed as appropriate.

I wear a mask, observe all recommended hygiene measures, observe all recommendations for social distancing. This is not a protest against any of that. I think the vast majority of people in Silver City are stepping up and acting responsibly for self and others.

While there is a serious health concern here, it is not a crisis. We currently meet and exceed all public health measures, the spread of covid 19 is adequately controlled here. Our health care resources are adequate to respond as needed, testing is adequate, and contact tracing is working here. Our rural area is not in need of this type of ordinance.

Our vulnerable poor, disabled, otherwise challenged or unwell, and / or homeless are least likely to tolerate compliance, and the least "helped" by being criminalized. This is control rather than "help" for those who could use a ride, grocery delivery, a meal, a helping hand, someone to visit with. A better use of city resources would be to provide what our vulnerable ones need. Please stand for tolerance and peace first.

My opposition stems from my wish that all our city’s limited resources be expended only on absolutely essential services. I am interested in keeping essential city services viable, in keeping payroll manageable and keeping employees on the payroll, in being prepared for additional future emergency needs by frugality now. I don’t think all our current ordinances (building codes, animal welfare are examples ) are being enforced as it is, without adding to the burden.

I sincerely appreciate our Mayor’s approach to this, going out and having the conversation with people in town, without taking a heavy handed approach that triggers angry pushback. I believe that policing and criminalizing non compliance will actually result in more non
compliance. That will in turn create / worsen the conflict and divisive nature of the times due to the pandemic and highly controversial nature of this election year. It will place an unnecessary downstream burden on our courts when this is over. Please shelve this ordinance.

--

Diana Bender

--

Diana Bender
Please see Ms. Monroe's comments below on Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 9:29 AM
To: 'karen monroe' <karenmonroe@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Mask requirement

Dear Ms. Monroe,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed during their Council Meeting at 6 pm tomorrow night. I have attached a copy of the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice gives instructions on how to attend the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: karen monroe @example.com
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2020 7:59 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Mask requirement

Hello my name is Karen Monroe and I am lifelong Silver City resident. I understand that you will be voting on mandatory masks outside !? When I started to write this email I wanted to be rude but I can’t as I understand and see the pressure that is being placed on people to conform to the masks, However this is getting out of hand and people do not even know what to believe anymore. However from what i have observed there hasn’t been a mass out break recently that warrants people to constrict and forbid people from breathing clean air! What is the purpose of this? Is it just in case someone comes into contact with me when there is no one around? This is so stupid that I can’t even spend the time explaining it! You know this is totally ridiculous in nature and a far stretch from the truth!! Please NO NEW MASK MANDATES!

Sincerely,
KAREN MONROE
Voice message from WIRELESS CALLER (###) to 575-534-6358

Please listen to the attached voicemail from Linda Rodwell concerning Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 8:54 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Cc: Silver City Town Manager <TownManager@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: FW: Voice message from WIRELESS CALLER (###) to 575-534-6358

Good Morning Ann,
FYI......

From: tel: (###) <non-mail-user@wnmt.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2020 6:02 PM
To: TINA S <575-534-6358@wnmt.com>
Subject: Fwd: Voice message from WIRELESS CALLER (###) to 575-534-6358

The attached message was recently left in your voicemail account for 575-534-6358. We are sending you this email because you have asked for your messages to be forwarded to this address.

The original message is still in your account.
From: Town Clerk Silver City
To: "Ken Ladner", Councilor Bettison; Councilor Cano; Councilor Lynda D. Alman-Smith
  (councilordistrict2@silvertown.gov); Jose A. Ray (jose_r88061@yahoo.com)
Subject: FW: public input on Ordinance 1293 re face coverings
Date: Monday, August 24, 2020 11:06:00 AM
Attachments: SWS PUBLIC INPUT ON NOI 1293.pdf

Please see Simon Wheaton-Smith’s attachment that has comments regarding Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 11:06 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City
Subject: FW: public input

Dear Simon,

Thank you for your input on NOI Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email and attachment to the Mayor and Councillors. They are scheduled to vote on the ordinance during their Council meeting tomorrow night at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice has the details of how to attend the remote Council meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvertown.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact the sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: sctownclerk <sctownclerk@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 9:58 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: FW: public input

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Simon Wheaton-Smith <sctownclerk@gmail.com>
Date: 8/24/20 9:57 AM (GMT-07:00)
To: sctownclerk@gmail.com
Subject: public input

simon
Mayor, Council

I offer my input about why I oppose this well intentioned ordinance as you debate Ordinance 1293 "REGARDING THE USE OF FACE COVERINGS BY EMPLOYEES AND CUSTOMERS WHEN INSIDE PLACES OF BUSINESS AND BY ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHEN IN PUBLIC PLACES."

I have studied the data provided by New Mexico on its public web sites. I also have the detailed data for every state since each started reporting COVID19 stats, and I have studied the governor's orders, the state's plan, and their gating criteria.

I am a strong believer in social distancing, face mask usage, as well as hygiene. I am not a medical professional; I cannot offer medical advice; so these are my personal opinions.

First, some general background:

The USA is approximately 4% of the world's population but has over 20% of the COVID19 world deaths.

Whereas New Mexico has a case-to-test positivity of approximately 2%, well below the common gating criterion of 5% maximum. The New Mexico Rt (similar to R0) has been less than 1.0 for the last month; a common gating criterion is 1% maximum. Comparing neighboring states: New Mexico shines with lowest cases and deaths per 100,000 compared to our neighbors.

| Cases per 100,000 (7 day average as of 8/22/20) |
| AZ | NM | TX |
| 2706 | 1122 | 1890 |

| Deaths per 100,000 (7 day average as of 8/22/20) |
| AZ | NM | TX |
| 63 | 34 | 35 |

There have been six New Mexico business employee Rapid Response events in Grant County, with four in Silver City. Silver City is 0.2% of the state Rapid Response total.

- 7/4/2020  A Mine                              Tyrone
- 7/17/2020  A service business                Silver City
- 7/22/2020  Western NM University (2 cases)   Silver City
- 7/22/2020  A large store                     Silver City
- 7/25/2020  Ft Bayard Medical Center          Santa Claria
- 8/12/2020  A salon                            Silver City

This and other data suggests New Mexico is doing very well and Silver City even better.

Silver City's current COVID19 compliance, our spread out town, and our low travel activity suggest a present and continuing risk lower than the rest of the state.
While in one month the Silver City cases went from 25 to 49 cases per day, an increase of about half a case a day, the number of cases has since leveled off. Silver City cases are shown below with the most recent data on the left.

I oppose this ordinance on a number of grounds.

The ordinance has no procedure to end the mask requirement, nor to restart it, based on the governor's current or future health orders.

The ordinance does not address social distancing, a major factor in transmission.

The ordinance requires face masks when running in public, and when conducting outdoor physical activities such as exercising and sports. CDC guidance currently says "Masks may be challenging for players (especially younger players) to wear while playing sports. Masks should be worn by coaches, youth sports staff, officials, parents, and spectators as much as possible." Has this ordinance element been studied in this light?

I have looked carefully at the large stores over the last few weeks in Silver City and observed a high degree of compliance with both masks and social distancing. Compliance with masks in parking lots is lower however social distancing is generally maintained. In smaller businesses the compliance is good but not quite as high.

Emotions run high on any government mandate. Silver City police have built a good reputation which can be tarnished with mask enforcement, a highly divisive topic. Many consider the mask guidance to be state overreach, so let State Police enforce the state's orders, and keep our officers out of this issue.

In that vein, the state has been very inconsistent in its orders and guidance, so on that point alone I suggest the state handle enforcement and not the Town. Examples of state order inconsistencies would be the liquor store anomaly, the plant nursery anomaly, the brewery versus winery anomaly, the use of different data for decisions than the state publishes (recently explained), the state creating five regions yet insisting the entire state moves as one, and finally having opening but no closing criteria.
Compliance comes from people buying into the problem as well as accepting proposed solutions.

Silver City has a very high compliance rate. I believe this proposed ordinance fixes a problem we do not have, and may polarize the community further while not improving personal compliance nor reduce viral transmission rates. It duplicates the actions that State Police are better equipped to handle.

Thank you for listening.

Simon Wheaton-Smith

=================================

REFERENCES

NM
https://cvprovider.nmhealth.org/public-dashboard.html
https://covidtracking.com/data/state/new-mexico
https://coronavirususamap.com/
https://www.env.nm.gov/nmed-resources-for-covid-19/

AZ
https://coronavirususamap.com/
https://www.maricopa.gov/5594/School-Metrics
https://covidtracking.com/data/state/arizona

Rt
https://rt.live/
https://epiforecasts.io/covid/posta/national/united-states/

USA

=================================
Voice message from OLIVEIRA JESSE (censored) to 5755346358

Please open the attachment and listen to the voicemail from Barbara Olivera regarding the Ordinance on face coverings.

Ann

From: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silvercitynm.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 11:04 AM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Cc: Silver City Town Manager <TownManager@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: FW: Voice message from OLIVEIRA JESSE (censored) to 5755346358

FYI

From: tel: (censored) <non-mail-user@wnmt.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 10:45 AM
To: TINA S <5755346358@wnmt.com>
Subject: Fwd: Voice message from OLIVEIRA JESSE (censored) to 5755346358

The attached message was recently left in your voicemail account for 5755346358. We are sending you this email because you have asked for your messages to be forwarded to this address.

The original message is still in your account.
Sandra Williamson, phone called on 8/22/20 at 12:35 pm. She is opposed to Ordinance 1293 and said if it is passed she will not shop in Silver City. She does not live in Silver City and said she will shop in Deming or Safford.

Ann
Marvin’s phone number is [REDACTED]

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 11:38 AM
To: ‘Ken Ladner’ <kenladner@hotmail.com>; Councilor Bettison <councilordistrict1@silvercitynm.gov>; Councilor Cano <councilordistrict4@silvercitynm.gov>; Councilor Lynda D. Aiman-Smith <councilordistrict2@silvercitynm.gov>; Jose A. Ray <jose_r88061@yahoo.com>

Subject: phone msg from Marvin - input on Ord 1293 face coverings

A voicemail was left on 8/22/20 at 6:32 pm from Marvin in Buckhorn. He is opposed to Ordinance 1293 and said if it was passed he will no longer shop in Silver City, but will shop in Deming.

Ann
A voicemail was received from Grady Littleton, phone number on 8/24/20 at 10:08. He is opposed to Ordinance 1293 and said it will take away their rights, which is wrong.

Ann
A voicemail was received from Deana Kartchner on 8/22/20 at 6:27 pm, phone [REDACTED]. She said she is opposed to Ordinance 1293.

Ann
Please see Ms. Kendig’s comments below regarding Ordinance 1293 about face coverings.

Ann

From: Kate Jones <kate.jones2@rogers.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 1:02 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Public Input

Dear council,

Please stand firm and require face coverings in public and in places of commerce. You are protecting the health and lives not just of your own citizens, but the health and lives of people who come to Silver City from a distance to buy food, medicine, and other essentials. I live 30 miles from Silver City, in Cliff. If Silver City doesn’t try to be safe, where can I go? The pandemic and these safety rules are temporary. Death, on the other hand, is permanent. Our county doesn’t have a wealth of health resources; they shouldn’t be squandered for the sake of convenience or political postures. Elderly outsiders like myself, have supported the economy of Silver City for many years. Please return the favor and support us now. It’s just like stopping drunk drivers for the safety of others. You must do this.

Sincerely and urgently,

Kate Kendig
We received a phone call today from Julie Gierhart from Cliff, phone [redacted]. She is opposed to Ordinance 1293.

Ann
Please see Ms. Jensen’s comments below on Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 8:23 AM
To: ‘mjensen.sw@gmail.com’ <mjensen.sw@gmail.com>
Subject: FW: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Dear Ms. Jensen,

Thank you for your comments on proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. The Town Council is scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance at their Council meeting tonight at 6pm. I have attached a copy of the agenda and the legal notice for the meeting. The legal notice provides the details for attending the remote meeting.

Best regards,

Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-8346
Fax: 575-534-8377
mailto:town clerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Address: PO Box 1188 Silver City, NM 88062, Phone: 575-534-6358

First Name: Maria
Last Name: Jensen
Email: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]
City: [redacted]
State: [redacted]
Zip Code: [redacted]
How did you find us? Other
Other: Field not completed.
Your Comments or Questions: I have read the description of the ordinance and am concerned about the requirement to wear a face covering when walking or running in public. With Silver City and some neighborhoods basically being on the edge of the wilderness, how is "public" defined? I personally associate it with a space where other people are present. In the places I run, there are very often no
people, and if there are I can see them coming, go the other way, or if needed, stop and put on my mask. I do disagree that a person experiencing complete solitude away from development but within the city limits may have to pay a fine because they aren’t wearing their mask. This is not just my opinion; I haven’t come across any science that says an infected person running in the open air may infect people when no people are present. I respect the conscientiousness and proactive steps to controlling the virus, but this is to basically tell people they lack the common sense to know when they may spread the virus to others. I understand that when I walk downtown, and even though I’m avoiding other pedestrians someone may suddenly exit their house and be just a few yards from me so that it’s best to have a mask on. There is no clear, obvious line separating the Town of Silver City from the county from public from being solitary outside of developed areas, therefore I think this part is problematic. I most certainly would fight any fine that might be imposed on me if someone “told” on me because they saw from a distance that I wasn’t masked while running in open country but then put it on as we came closer. This is all that’s needed and nothing more should be required. Also what is “personal vehicle” vs. “private transportation”? Terms need to be defined.

Thank You,
Maria Jensen
Please see Ms. Miller’s comments below on Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 8:35 AM
To: 'Email' <redacted>
Subject: FW: Online Form Submittal: Comments or Questions

Dear Miller,

Thank you for your comments on proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. The Town Council is scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance during their Council meeting tonight at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for the meeting. The legal notice has the details on how to attend the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: noreply@civicplus.com
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 11:03 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Comments or Questions

Comments or Questions

**Address:** PO Box 1188 Silver City, NM 88062, Phone: 575-538-3731

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Pamela</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><strong>Redacted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td><strong>Redacted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td><strong>Redacted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td><strong>Redacted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td><strong>Redacted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td><strong>Redacted</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you find us?</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Field not completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your Comments or Questions**

I am writing concerning the proposed ordinance that will be on the agenda August 25th. The proposed “Mask/face covering” ordinance. I have MANY out of state friends that I encourage to visit Silver City when passing thru or looking for an interesting and fun place to visit. My husband and I also make trips down frequently (pre-covid) to have a short break from the ranch. If this ridiculous ordinance passes and is put in to effect...indefinitely, I will NO longer encourage friends/families/acquaintances to visit. I will let them know in no uncertain terms to steer clear of your nice town. My family will no longer patronize the City also. Have you really given much thought to how this will affect your tourism business? My husband has a limo service that does tours and deals with tourists almost exclusively. We know first hand that people DO NOT wish to have their choice taken away while vacationing. Have we not had enough of our freedoms and choices infringed upon already? This is just a very bad choice on
your part and I believe you will see that if you push this on people in your future City revenue.
Thank you for your valuable time.
Good Luck.
Pamela Miller

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.
We received a phone call today from Elaine Haynik, phone [redacted] opposing the mask ordinance. She is a retired nurse of 40 years and said the medical point of view of breathing your own carbon dioxide from wearing a mask is unhealthy. She said if a person believes they are at risk, they should wear a mask or stay home; that she should not have to wear a mask. She said the risk is not great in our county and nobody is in intensive care for it, the stores are closing and the lockdown is killing our economy. She said mandatory masks is ridiculous and the age requirement for kids is terrible.

Ann
We received a call from Delphina and Fred Jimenez asking that you vote NO on the mask ordinance. Their phone number is [redacted].

Ann
We received a call from Ms. White today, phone number redacted. She said she and her entire family, neighbors, and people she knows are against the mask ordinance, especially since there is no end date. She said people will not do it forever and it's not fair. She said the town and state have suffered enough and it goes against their constitutional rights to force people to wear masks. She said people are losing their jobs and houses because of the shutdown. She said people are already wearing masks so it's not necessary, and that she has asthma and will not wear a mask because she can't breathe with one on.

Ann
Please see Mr. Petty's comments on Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 12:13 PM
To: 'trentpetty38@gmail.com' <trentpetty38@gmail.com>
Subject: FW: Online Form Submittal: COVID-19 Comments or Questions

Dear Mr. Petty,

Thank you for your comments on proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. The Council is scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance during tonight’s Council meeting at 6pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice to this email for your reference. The legal notice contains the details of how to attend the meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-8377
mailto:townclerk@silvertown.org
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
**COVID-19 Comments or Questions**

*Address: PO Box 1188 Silver City, NM 88062, Phone: 575-534-6358*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Trent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Petty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you find us?</td>
<td>Field not completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Comments or Questions</td>
<td>The World Health Organization (WHO) has just announced, people that are asymptomatic can NOT pass COVID 19. The science is in, Grandma was right. If you aren't running a fever you can't pass it on. There is no need to require everyone to wear a mask simply stay home if you are running a fever. There is also scientific proof that masks are harmful to the wearer. Their oxygen level is far below the level recommended by Doctors. It's NOT healthy to rebreath what your body has just exhaled for it's</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
own good. The WHO has stated that masks are NO longer a health issue. If this ordinance is passed it would only be a political issue.
We received a phone call from Catalina Gutierrez from Hurley, phone [redacted] regarding the mask ordinance. She mentioned the strictness of it with 13 years and up and to wear a mask outside the home and on Zoom. She said please do not do this because it was ridiculous. She said Grant County has so many other things that need to be taken care of. She said it was unlawful and you are taking their rights away and freedom away. She said she was definitely opposed to the ordinance.

Ann
We received a phone call today from Pastor Joseph Gros at Calvary Chapel Church, phone [redacted]. He said it was his desire that the Town Council not pass a mask ordinance so that it would not be imposed on them or their freedoms.

Ann
We received a call from Priscilla Cruz today, phone [REDACTED] and she said she is totally against the mask ordinance.

Ann
We received a call today from Isabel Martinez, phone [redacted] and she said she is against the mask in the car because it was very hard to wear a mask with glasses because the mask rides up on the nose.

Ann
Please see Ms. Pidutti’s comments below regarding Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 1:34 PM
To: 'Nancy Pidutti' <nancy.pidutti@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: RE: Mandated masks

Dear Ms. Pidutti,

Thank you for sending your comments concerning the proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I forward your comments to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance during tonight’s Council meeting at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice gives you the details on how to attend the meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel. 575-534-6346
Fax. 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
Ann,

Thank you so much for giving me the corrected email.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Nancy Pidutti <nancy.pidutti@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Mandated masks
Date: August 25, 2020 at 11:01:10 AM MDT
To: townclerk@silvercitynm.gov

To Cynthia Bettison and the Silver City Town Council:

Dear Cynthia:

I want to thank each of you on the Silver City Town Council along with the mayor for your dedication and often sacrificial service to the town of Silver City. Your efforts on our behalf are much appreciated.

I appreciate your concern and caring for the health of the residents of Silver City and Grant County.

However, in regard to the proposal to require all citizens to face masks in Silver City, or suffer penalties I am greatly concerned for several reasons.

Since the concern focuses on Covid-19, we should examine what a virus is and what are the most important measure to take in protecting the populace against this infection.

A virus is not the same as a bacterium. A virus is a gathering together of strands of RNA or DNA surrounded by a protein or other type of jacket. The corona virus has only a single strand of RNA.

As researchers have explored the world of viruses, they are beginning to estimate that there are not merely hundreds or thousands of viruses, but they may well number in the millions. Some can be transmitted from animal or bird sources to humans.

The problem with a virus is that when it enters a host, it goes up to a cell, inserts a connecting line or proboscis and enters the heart of the cell, taking over its
normal function and inserting its own RNA or DNA, thereby causing a change that amounts to a normal cell becoming a rogue cell. Some viruses can cause cancer, for instance, or AIDS or smallpox, among other disorders.

Viruses can be as tiny as 17 nanometers or up to 2.3 micrometers, all of which are incredibly tiny and cannot be detected by a normal microscope. Because of the minuscule size, they can easily pass through the pores of a mask. A comparison might be somewhat like trying to use a chain-link fence to keep a mosquito from coming through.

So, while a mask can keep droplets of a sneeze or cough contained, it certainly will not protect the wearer from passage of any number of viruses through the mesh. So, what will help prevent infection?

Did you know that the electric charge of copper will kill not only viruses, but also bacteria? Although this has been a well-known fact, researchers at NYU Langone Health site have been exploring this. So, it would be possible to clad doorknobs in a thin covering of copper and prevent much transmission of contagious elements. Some hospitals are doing this with door plates with good results.

Michael Schmidt is a professor of microbiology and immunology at the Medical University of South Carolina. He has studied copper’s ability and claims that oxygen interacts with copper creating a free radical which moves into the virus causing it to “explode.”

There is some debate about using copper impregnated face masks for those who are exposed to viruses on a daily basis such as medical workers. Concern is that washing such masks will strip the ions from the copper making the mask ineffective. Schmidt thinks that their use might prevent a second wave of the virus.

However, there is a danger in chronic mask wearing. Many people are reporting a type of “mask-acne.” All of us have a constant dusting of bacteria on our bodies. Many mask wearers find that they overheat from trying to breathe through the mask barrier and this causes sweating which moves bacteria into pooling in the pores behind the mask creating a perfect incubation site for bacteria to multiply.

There is another, more serious danger, to chronic mask wearing. Healthy athletes were tested in wearing masks while vigorously exercising. They had their blood levels of oxygen tested before, during and after the vigorous exercise test.

These normally very energetic young men showed a serious drop in the levels of oxygen in their blood. In fact, it was so much lower than normal that their readings would have required them to use oxygen had they been a patient being tested for respiratory issues. This is known as hypoxia and lowers reaction time, mental processes, effects immune health and interferes with normal communication between all body glands, organs and body systems.

So, the temporary fix actually creates body stress which triggers cortisol release which lowers immune function, thereby making the mask wearers more apt to get some type of body disorder in the long run.

There have been a number of medical doctors in Texas who used a protocol of hydroxychloroquine, zinc, vitamin C and Vitamin D3 to help their patients with
corona virus recover very quickly. For some reason, their research caused a few to lose their jobs and others to be blocked on most forms of social media. One wonders why.

Recently, in Australia another group of doctors has been perfecting a second very effective means of protecting its citizens from getting coronavirus or recovering from it. The recovery time there is even quicker as in a matter of hours. Many of them are actually taking the protocol preventively with excellent results.

In Israel, there are 6 different vaccines being developed with no side effects. Sadly, side effects remain the main problem with US made vaccines. This is a well-researched fact, again not well supported by social media. Robert Kennedy, Jr. is only one of the more prominent voices speaking about this issue.

So, I offer you that there are other options to consider rather than making healthy constituents constantly put their own health at risk by adopting an unsafe mandate.

We understand that part of the consideration of the mandate was that mask wearing was mandatory while driving a vehicle in the environs of the city. Again, I point out that hypoxia lowers reaction time, slows mental processes, which, from a medical standpoint, is not a wise traffic management consideration.

I also understand that the interior of our vehicle is private property and thereby this should not be managed by outside sources.

So, given these facts I must raise my voice in strong protest against that which is declared not legal in the state of Texas. Their caveat is reasonable. They require masks in "commercial entities, public buildings and outdoor public spaces that don’t allow for social distancing."

They are also not required under the following situations.

- In counties with 20 or fewer cases, where a county judge opts out by filing with TX Division of Emergency Management, as long as face coverings are highly recommended
- For children 10 yrs or younger
- For individuals with health conditions that prevent them from wearing a mask
- While consuming food or drink
- While exercising or engaging in physical activities outdoors, as long as they can maintain social distance
- While swimming
- While driving alone or with someone from your household
- Temporary removal while receiving a service that requires security screening, such as a bank, or specific access to the face, as personal care
- Those voting or working at polling locations
- At religious services
- While speaking for broadcast or audience

I would qualify as an individual with a health condition that prevents me from wearing a mask. I quickly become hypoxic due to a particular health situation. Polyps obstruct one nostril and if I walk and wear a mask, within minutes I come close to passing out from lack of oxygen. So this is a further reason that I request...
your attention to the above details.

I am not against the use of masks for those who are vulnerable. However, I strongly suggest that the decision to use one or not be left up to the individual rather than having it mandated.

We have been residents of this area since 1975, paid our taxes and otherwise supported our local citizens and residents.

So, I ask you: PLEASE DO NOT PASS A FACE MASK ORDINANCE.

Respectfully submitted,

Nancy L. Pidutti Retired nurse, Master’s in Natural Health and Healing
Please listen to the attached voicemail message from phone (XXX-XXX-XXXX) for public input from "We the People" about Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Assistant to Exec Department <assistanttoexecdept@silverscitynm.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 1:03 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silverscitynm.gov>
Cc: Silver City Town Manager <TownManager@silverscitynm.gov>
Subject: FW: Voice message from WIRELESS CALLER (XXX-XXX-XXXX) to 5755346358
Importance: High

fyl

Tina C. Sublasky
Assistant to Executive Department
Town of Silver City
P. O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Phone: 575.534.6358
Fax: 575.534.6377

From: tel: 57553136640 <non-mail-user@wnmt.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 12:55 PM
To: TINA S <5755346358@wnmt.com>
Subject: Fwd: Voice message from WIRELESS CALLER (XXX-XXX-XXXX) to 5755346358
Importance: High

The attached message was recently left in your voicemail account for 5755346358. We are sending you this email because you have asked for your messages to be forwarded to this address.

The original message is still in your account.
Please see Mr. Pidutti’s email below regarding Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
To: Ken Lader; Councilor Bettison; Councilor Cano; Councilor Lynda D. Aiman-Smith (councilordistrict2@silverscitynm.gov); Jose A. Ray (jose_r88061@yahoo.com)
Bcc: Ann Mackie
Subject: FW: Public Input on Ordinance 1293 Masks
Date: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 2:50:00 PM

Dear Ms. Pudutti,

Thank you for forwarding Mr. Pudutti’s comments. I will forward them to the Mayor and Councilors.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88082
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silverscitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Nancy Pidutti <nancy.pidutti@...>
Dear Cynthia:

I would like to thank each of you along with the mayor for your dedicated & sacrificial service to the town of Silver City. You are appreciated by many.

I also appreciate your concern and caring for the health of the residents of the city and our county.

In regard to the proposal requiring face masks in Silver City, or suffer a penalty, I am concerned for several reasons. Some statistics

1. According to the New Mexico cvprovider.nmhealth.org site, 76 people in Grant County have tested positive for Covid 19 since March 1, 2020. That is 76 out of 6,688 who were tested or roughly 1% to have had the virus. Seventy-four of those are already passed the non contagious 14 day quarantine. Seventy-six people out of 26,000 residents is .29% which is hardly significant.

2. Nationally, 99.6% of people survive the Covid 19 slightly more than the common flu, for which we never have required the general populace to wear face masks.

3. There were only 64 Covid patients hospitalized in all of New Mexico as of Saturday, 8/22/20. For a state with 2.2 Million population, that is a very small number (.00003).

4. Most masks have pores large enough to let the very small virus pass through.

5. Breathing through a mask all day is not very healthy for a person. Rebreathing carbon dioxide and other particles. There are not sufficient studies that prove a mask will prevent getting the virus.

6. Younger people (40 and under) have very little problem with covid 19. Residents over 65, especially over 75 have a much harder time with it. In fact a higher percentage of the deaths are from elderly in nursing homes.

7. Studies have shown that wearing a face mask while vigorously exercising lowers your blood oxygen level, Not good.

I agree that for now we should social distance, can choose to wear masks when in close
presence of vulnerable people, but to pass a law to require all people to wear face masks in Silver City is not only a breach of our constitutional rights, but a burden on most of the populace.

I beseech the council not to pass this ordinance requiring face mask to be worn in all of Silver City. Thank you for your consideration.

PLEASE DO NOT PASS A FACE MASK ORDINANCE

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Pidutti
We received a phone call from Reyna Garay, phone [redacted]. She said she lives in Santa Clara but shops in Silver City. She said she is blessed to be part of the Santa Clara community. She said she and her husband have a heart condition so the masks is bad for them, especially when they are dealing with the high altitude. She said she is not against wearing masks because her husband is a Covid-19 survivor, but their health condition does not allow them to wear a mask. She said they are able to wear face shields so they can breathe. She said thank you for your beautiful way to consider the health and safety of the public. She also said her husband, Sidney Garay, has worked with the Mine Safety Health Administration, US Dept. of Labor, for 19 years and he can come and speak to the public about Covid-19, if you wish. She does not think the people in Silver City are taking it very seriously.

Ann
This afternoon we received a call from Rudy Bencemo, retired Silver City Fire Chief, phone [redacted]. He stated is opposed the mask ordinance that is being discussed and potentially being passed.

Ann
Please see Mr. Woodward's input on Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
To: Ken Ladner; Councilor Pettison; Councilor Cano; Councilor Lynda D. Aiman-Smith
councilordistrict2@silvercitynm.gov; Jose A. Ray; jose_r8061@yahoo.com
Bcc: Ann Mackie
Subject: FW: Public Input ordinance 1293
Date: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 3:45:00 PM

Dear Mr. Woodward,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance at tonight's Council meeting at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice has the meeting details if you would like to attend the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-0346
Fax: 575-534-6377
townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
From: Bill Woodward
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 3:29 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvertownnm.gov>
Subject: Public Input ordinance 1293

Strongly opposed to ordinance 1293. William Woodward
Please see Mr. Coon's comments below regarding Ordinance 1293.

Ann

-----Original Message-----
From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 3:55 PM
To: 'Mick' <mcoonsc@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Mandated mask ordinance

Dear Mr. Coon,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for tonight's Council meeting. The legal notice provides the instructions on how to join the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mick <mcoonsc@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 3:38 PM
To: Town Clerk Silver City <townclerk@silvercitynm.gov>
Subject: Mandated mask ordinance

Dear Silver City Town Council,
It is my understanding that you are going to consider mandating the wearing of masks in the town of Silver City and that this subject will be voted upon at tonight's meeting.

There are several reasons why this is not a good idea.

First, there are many epidemiologists that say masks are not effective in stopping the coronavirus. Even the very best masks such as the N95 masks are not able to contain the coronavirus according to these experts. In addition, even the CDC is now acknowledging that masks cannot contain the virus.

Second, it has been shown that with many people, even athletes, the wearing of masks can cause an individual's oxygen level to go below acceptable levels. I, myself, have had trouble breathing wearing a mask.

Third, it is my understanding that the mandate you are considering may include wearing a mask outside or even in one's own vehicle. According to epidemiologists, sunlight will kill the virus in a matter of seconds.

I believe it should be up to the individual to decide whether or not to wear a mask.

Please do not pass this ordinance.

Sincerely,

Charles M. (Mick) Coon
Please see Ms. Michaud’s comments concerning Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City  
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 4:01 PM  
To: ‘Sandra Michaud’  
Subject: RE: Mask ordinance

Dear Ms. Michaud,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance at tonight’s remote Council meeting at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice details how to join the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC  
Town Clerk  
The Town of Silver City  
101 W. Broadway Ave.  
P.O. Box 1188  
Silver City, NM 88062  
Tel: 575-334-6346  
Fax: 575-334-6377  
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov  
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
I have been seeing a lot of people writing and saying that we don't need a masking ordinance because we should trust people to do the right thing.

I disagree. Yes, more than 90% WILL do the right thing and wear a mask. But we need an enforceable ordinance for those who rate their idea of freedom over the lives of their fellow citizens. An ordinance, with a fine, will also help the employees of businesses who are trying to enforce their businesses' rules and are yelled at, spit at and actually put in danger for doing so. If an ordinance is passed, they will have the option of calling the police for enforcement.

We don't have DUI laws for the majority of people who would not drive while impaired, we have them for those who, again, think their idea of freedom is more important than the lives and health of others. There are many examples of laws which regulate behaviors, that don't affect the majority of people who would not behave in inappropriate ways. Freedom without responsibility is not freedom, it's just adolescence. We have laws for those who refuse to grow up.

"No shoes, no shirt, no mask, no service"

Sandra Michaud
Please see Ms. Berry's email below on Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 4:05 PM
To: 'Sondra Berry' <sunny@_locallyhosted.com>
Subject: RE: Public input

Dear Ms. Berry,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293 regarding face coverings. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. The Council is scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance at tonight's remote Council Meeting at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice has the details on how to attend the remote meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
We the People NO! On ord 1293 masks!!!
Gov Lujan & judge ruling unconstitutional!
Vote for the ord & We the People will Fire you!
Period!
Please see Mr. Hill's email below that contains question about Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 4:11 PM
To: 'Christopher Hill' <Christopher.W.Hill@State.NM.us>
Subject: RE: Public Input

Dear Mr. Hill,

Thank you for your comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293. I will forward your email to the Mayor and Councilors. They are scheduled to vote on the proposed ordinance during tonight's remote Council meeting at 6 pm. I have attached the agenda and legal notice for your reference. The legal notice provides the details on how to attend the meeting.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.
Hello you you. I hope all is well and wonderful. Below is the input and questions I would like to officially submit and have on record in regards to the following. I would obviously love to get a reply with the answers as well if possible.

Approval / Disapproval of Ordinance No. 1293: An Ordinance amending Chapter 22 of the Town of Silver City Municipal Code, creating a new Section 22-30 regarding the use of face coverings by employees and customers when inside places of business and by all members of the public when in public places.5

-Who is/are the MEDICAL professional(s) you all have worked with in regards to the safety of wearing masks? I am requesting their professional opinions and perspectives on why they support this bill because the current data from the global medical industry shows that this bill requirements are actually harmful and not healthy for the majority of our population.

-Has it been taken into consideration the negative side effects (brain, circulation, cardiovascular and dental) to the human mind and body that are not only known but being discovered when the human body is required to respire/breathe through any kind of filter or devise that does not intentionally "increase" oxygen flow?

-Help me understand how it is safe be outside or even to exercise in any form or fashion when the heart rate is even slightly elevated and a mask is being worn limiting oxygen intake and increasing carbon dioxide levels.

I have many more but for time sake and so other people can feel questions as well I'll limit my questions to the above. Thanks in advance for your time. Very appreciated.
Please see the additional comments from Ms. Berry concerning Ordinance 1293.

Ann

From: Town Clerk Silver City
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 4:17 PM
To: 'Sondra Berry' <sondraberry0@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Public input

Dear Ms. Berry,

Thank you for your additional comments concerning proposed Ordinance 1293. I will forward them to the Mayor and Councilors.

Best regards,
Ann

Ann L. Mackie, MMC
Town Clerk
The Town of Silver City
101 W. Broadway Ave.
P.O. Box 1188
Silver City, NM 88062
Tel: 575-534-6346
Fax: 575-534-6377
mailto:townclerk@silvercitynm.gov
www.townofsilvercity.org

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please contact sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. Similar laws in other countries where any recipient of this e-mail resides also apply.

From: Sondra Berry <sondraberry0@gmail.com>
We the People your Bosses have spoken!
No on ord 1293 mask wearing!

On Tuesday, August 25, 2020, Sondra Berry <[redacted]> wrote:
No! On ord 1293 mask wearing.

On Tuesday, August 25, 2020, Sondra Berry <[redacted]> wrote:
We the People NO! On ord 1293 masks!!!
Gov lujan & judge ruling unconstitutional!
Vote for the ord & We the People will Fire you!
Period!
Senovia Ray called at 4:02 pm, phone [redacted] She said she is against the mask ordinance.

Ann
We received a phone call from Carmen Terrazas today at 4:39, phone XXX-XXX-XXXX. She is against the mask ordinance. She said there are already issues with wearing masks and having a hard time breathing already. She said it is not good for a lot of people that are sick. She said think of that if you were in the same type of health. She think you would feel the same way. She said she hopes that God guides you and you make the right decision for all of us.

Ann